

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,441	11/07/2003	Gaelle Brun	05725.1257-00	9409
22852 7590 03/30/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP . 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 03/30/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/702,441	BRUN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
<i>'</i>	,—						
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·= · · · — ·	8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

I. Cyclic carbonates that encompass a number of distinct compounds

The species are independent or distinct because the claimed formula I (claim 10) encompass several cyclic carbonate compounds that are different from each other based on the substitution for the variables X, Z, R2 and R1. For example, according to claim 11, entirely different cyclic carbonates result depending upon when X=O, R1 and R2 may form a 5-carbon ring or a 6-carbon ring. Further, according to formula I, X may include sulfur, which further results in distinct compounds, from the compounds when X=O.

Accordingly, applicants are required to elect a <u>single</u>, <u>ultimate disclosed</u>

<u>species of a cyclic carbonate</u> (not a sub-genus) for examination. Upon electing a single cyclic carbonate compound, applicants are further required to elect from the following "method of treatment":

II. Method of treatment:

- 1. Hair styling or hair shaping or making-up of hair
- 2. Hair washing or hair care
- 3. Hair coloring

Application/Control Number: 10/702,441 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

4. Eyelash treatment

5. Skin treatment and

6. Nail treatment.

The species are independent or distinct because a treatment for skin is different from treating nails, which is different from treating hair. Further, a treatment involving hair coloring does not always involve hair washing or styling.

Upon electing a single cyclic carbonate compound and a single method of treatment associated with the elected cyclic carbonate, applicants are further required to elect a single component from the following "additional components":

III. Additional components

- 1. Fixative polymers and conditioning agents
- 2. Dyes
- 3. Oxidizing agent

The species are independent or distinct because a treatment that involves hair coloring with dyes does not necessarily include hair conditioning. Similarly, a nail composition involving dyes does not require oxidizing that is generally performed during hair bleaching. Thus, each of the species is different.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,441

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a telephone call was made to the applicants' representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 AM -4.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,441 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AU 1615 March 23, 2007

> LAKSHMI S. CHANNAVAJJALA PRIMARY EXAMINER